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Abstract
The authors Miličević et al. introduced the reformulated Zagreb indices [1], which is a generalization of classical Zagreb indices of chemical graph theory. In this paper, we mainly consider the maximum and minimum for the first reformulated index of graphs with connectivity at most $k$. The corresponding extremal graphs are characterized.

1 Introduction

A graph invariant is a function on a graph that does not depend on the labeling of its vertices. Recently, hundreds of graph invariants have been considered in quantitative structure-activity relationship and quantitative structure-property relationship researches. We refer the reader to the monograph [2]. Among those useful invariants, we will present several that are relevant for our paper, such as the first Zagreb index and the second Zagreb index [3-7].

The authors modified the Zagreb indices in [8]. Later, the Zagreb indices were reformulated in terms of the edge-degrees instead of the vertex-degrees as the original Zagreb indices, named the reformulated Zagreb indices, by Miličević et al. in 2004 [9].

As far as we know, there are only some basic mathematical properties of the reformulated Zagreb indices have been reviewed [9]. Other investigators discussed the relationship between the reformulated Zagreb indices and the corresponding invariants of graphs [10]. Whereas to our best knowledge, the reformulated Zagreb indices with order $n$ and $k$ cut vertices or connectivity at most $k$ have, so far, not been considered in the chemical literatures. The aim of the present article is to continue in the same vein and to give some novel results concerning these indices. Here we mainly consider a special classes of graphs with order $n$ and connectivity at most $k$. The corresponding extremal graphs are also presented.
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2 Terminology and notations

Throughout the paper we consider only finite and simple graphs. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite simple graph with vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$, and $|G|$ and $|E|$ be its order and size, respectively. As usual, the degree of a vertex $u$ in $G$ is the number of incident edges, denoted by $d_G(u)$ or $d(u)$ for short if there is no confuse, and the neighborhood of a vertex $u$ in $G$ is denoted by $N_G(u)$ or $N(u)$ for short. The complement of $G$, denoted by $\overline{G}$, is a simple graph on the same set of vertices $V(G)$, in which two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in $G$. For simplicity, we let $m = |E|$ and $\overline{m} = |\overline{E}|$, hence $\overline{m} + m = \binom{n}{2}$ and the degree of the same vertex $u$ in $\overline{G}$ is then given by $d_{\overline{G}}(u) = n - 1 - d_G(u)$, respectively.

The first Zagreb index $M_1$ equals to the sum of squares of the vertex degrees, and the second Zagreb index $M_2$ equals to the sum of product of degree of pairs of adjacent vertices:

$$M_1 = M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d(u)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 = M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d(u)d(v).$$

We refer the reader to [4] for more information and results on Zagreb indices.

In 2004, Miličević, Nikolić and Trinajstić reformulated the Zagreb indices in terms of edge-degrees instead of vertex-degrees [1]:

$$EM_1 = EM_1(G) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} d(e)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad EM_2 = EM_2(G) = \sum_{e \sim f} d(e)d(f),$$

where $d(e) = d(u) + d(v) - 2$ denotes the degree of the edge $e$ in $G$, and $e \sim f$ means that the edges $e$ and $f$ share a common end-vertex in $G$.

Let $G$ be a graph, then $G + uv$ denotes the graph obtained from $G$ by adding an edge $uv$ for two non-adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$. Similarly, $G - uv$ denotes the graph obtained by deleting an edge $uv$ of $G$.

A subgraph obtained by vertex deletions only is said to be an induced subgraph. If $S$ is the set of deleted vertices, the resulting subgraph is denoted by $G - S$. If $T = V \setminus S$, in this case, the subgraph is denoted by $G[T]$ and referred to as the subgraph of $G$ induced by $T$. We call $T$ a clique if the induced subgraph $G[T]$ is complete.

A cut-vertex in a connected graph $G$ is a vertex whose deletion breaks the graph into at least two connected components, and a vertex-cut of a graph $G$ is a set $X$ of $V(G)$ such that $G - X$ has more than one component. Similarly, the edge-cut $Y$ of graph $G$ is a set of edges such that $G - Y$ has more than one component.

The connectivity of $G$, denoted by $\kappa(G)$, is the minimum size of a vertex set $R$ such that $G - R$ is disconnected or has only one vertex. A graph $G$ is $k$-connected if its connectivity is at least $k$. The edge connectivity of $G$, denoted by $\kappa'(G)$, with at least two vertices is the minimum size of an edge-cut. A graph with at least two vertices is $k$-edge-connected if every edge-cut has at least $k$ edges.

Let $V_{n,k} = \{G|\kappa(G) \leq k \leq n - 1 \text{ and } |G| = n\}$ and $E_{n,k} = \{G|\kappa'(G) \leq k \leq n - 1 \text{ and } |G| = n\}$.

Expansion Lemma. Let $G$ be a $k$-connected graph, and $G'$ is obtained from $G$ by adding
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Let $G$ be a simple graph with order $n$. Then

1. $EM_1(G + uv) > EM_1(G)$ for two non-adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(G)$;
2. $EM_1(G - uv) < EM_1(G)$ for two adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(G)$.

Proof. It follows immediately by the definitions, so omitted here.

Let $G$ be a connected graph with $d_G(u) \geq d_G(v)$ for two non-adjacent vertices $u, v$. Assume that $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_s \in N_G(v) \setminus N_G(u)$, where $1 \leq s \leq d_G(v)$. Let $G' = G - \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_s\} + \{uv_1, uv_2, \cdots, uv_s\}$. Then we have the following conclusion.
Lemma 2. Let $G$ and $G'$ be two graphs shown as in Fig. 2. Then $EM_{1}(G') > EM_{1}(G)$.

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $E_{1} = \{e = ab \in E(G) \text{ and } a, b \neq u, v\}$, $V_{1} = N_{G}(u) \setminus N_{G}(v)$, $V_{2} = N_{G}(v) \setminus N_{G}(u)$ and $V_{3} = N_{G}(u) \cap N_{G}(v)$. Thus we have, see Fig. 2.

\[
EM_{1}(G') = \sum_{ab \in E_{1}} \left[ d(a) + d(b) - 2 \right]^{2} + \sum_{u \in V_{1}} \left[ d(u) + d(u_{i}) - 2 \right]^{2} + \sum_{v_{i} \in V_{2}} \left[ d(v_{i}) - 2 \right]^{2} + \sum_{w_{i} \in V_{3}} \left[ d(w_{i}) - 2 \right]^{2}.
\]

By the same reasoning, we have

\[
EM_{1}(G) = \sum_{ab \in E_{1}} \left[ d(a) + d(b) - 2 \right]^{2} + \sum_{u \in V_{1}} \left[ d(u) + d(u_{i}) - 2 \right]^{2} + \sum_{v_{i} \in V_{2}} \left[ d(v_{i}) - 2 \right]^{2} + \sum_{w_{i} \in V_{3}} \left[ d(w_{i}) - 2 \right]^{2}.
\]

Comparing to the two identities above, we obtain

\[
EM_{1}(G') - EM_{1}(G) = \sum_{u_{i} \in V_{1}} s \left[ 2d(u) + 2d(u_{i}) + s - 4 \right] + \sum_{v_{i} \in V_{2}} \left[ d(u) + d(v_{i}) - 2 \right] \left[ d(u) + d(v) + 2d(v_{i}) + s - 4 \right] + \sum_{w_{i} \in V_{3}} s \left[ 2d(u) - 2d(v) + 2s \right] > 0.
\]

The last inequality follows by $d(u) \geq d(v)$, $d(v) \geq s \geq 1$, $d(u_{i}) \geq 1$ and $d(v_{i}) \geq 1$.

This completes the proof. $\square$

Let $K_{n}$, $P_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ be the complete graph, the path and the cycle with order $n$, and $K_{p,q}$ be the complete bipartite graph.

\[\textbf{Tab. } EM_{1}-\text{value for some graph families.}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>$K_{n}$</th>
<th>$P_{n}(n &gt; 2)$</th>
<th>$C_{n}$</th>
<th>$K_{p,q}$ ($p, q &gt; 2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$EM_{1}$-value</td>
<td>$2n(n-1)(n-2)^2$</td>
<td>$4n - 10$</td>
<td>$4n$</td>
<td>$pq(p + q - 2)^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The dotted line illustrates that $uv_i$ is not an edge of $G$, and analogous illustration for $vv_i$ in $G'$.

**Lemma 3.** (Zhou et al. [3]) Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$ and size $m \geq 1$. Then $EM_1(G) \leq (n-4)M_1(G) + 4M_2(G) - 4m^2 + 4m$, with equality if and only if any two non-adjacent vertices have equal degrees.

**Lemma 4.** (Zhang and Wu [7]) Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$. Then

1. $2^{-1}\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \leq M_1(G) + M_1(G) \leq n(n-1)$;
2. $2^{-3}\frac{n(n-1)^3}{3} \leq M_2(G) + M_2(G) \leq 2^{-1}\frac{n(n-1)^3}{3}$.

The upper bounds in (1) and (2) attain on $K_n$.

**Lemma 5.** $EM_1(G(t,k,n-k-t)) < EM_1(G(1,k,n-k-1))$ holds for $2 \leq t \leq 2^{-1}(n-k)$.

**Proof.** Let $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_i$ be the vertices of $K_i$ and $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{n-k-t}$ the vertices of $K_n-k-t$. It is obvious that $(t-1) - (n-k-t-1) = 2t - n + k \leq 0$, which implies that $d(u_i) \geq d(v_i)$ holds for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. In view of Lemma 2, we have $EM_1(G'') > EM_1(G(1,k,n-k-1))$, where $G'' = G(1,k,n-k-1) - \{v_1v_2, v_1v_3, \cdots, v_1v_i\} + \{u_1v_2, u_1v_3, \cdots, u_1v_t\}$, see Fig. 3. On the other hand, $G(1,k,n-k-1)$ considered to be the graph obtained from $G''$ by joining $u_i$ and $v_h$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-k-t$ and $2 \leq h \leq t$. By repeated application of Lemma 1, we have $EM_1(G'') < EM_1(G(1,k,n-k-1))$. This completes the proof. □
Fig 3: The transformation from graph $G_{(\frac{t}{n-k-t})}$ to graph $G''$.

1. The dotted line illustrates that $u_1v_i$ is not an edge of $G_{(\frac{t}{n-k-t})}$, and analogous illustration for $v_1v_i$ in $G''$.

2. The bold line between $K_t$ and $H_k$ illustrates the join of them, and analogous illustration for others.

4 The upper and lower bounds of $EM_1$ index

**Theorem 1.** Let $G$ be an arbitrary graph in $\mathcal{V}_{n,k}$. Then

$$4n-10 \leq EM_1(G) \leq k(k+n-3)^2+4(n-2)^2\binom{k}{2}+4(n-3)^2\binom{n-k-1}{2}+k(n-k-1)(2n-5)^2,$$

the upper bound attains on $K_{(\frac{1}{n-k-1})}$, and the lower bound attains on $P_n$.

**Proof.** (1) We firstly consider the upper bound.

By an elementary calculation, we have

$$EM_1(K_{(\frac{1}{n-k-1})}) = k(k+n-3)^2+4(n-2)^2\binom{k}{2}+4(n-3)^2\binom{n-k-1}{2}+k(n-k-1)(2n-5)^2.$$

We have to prove now that for every $G \in \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$, the inequality $EM_1(G) \leq EM_1(K_{(\frac{1}{n-k-1})})$ holds and with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{(\frac{1}{n-k-1})}$.

Noting that $K_{(\frac{1}{n-1})} \cong K_n$ is in the set $\mathcal{V}_{n,k}$. If $k \geq n-1$, the upper bound holds by Lemma 1. If $1 \leq k < n-1$, let $G_0$ be the graph with order $n$ and maximum $EM_1$ in $\mathcal{V}_{n,k}$, which implies $EM_1(G) \leq EM_1(G_0)$ holds for all $G \in \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$. 
Let $G_0 \in V_{n,k}$ is not the complete graph, otherwise $\kappa(G_0) = n - 1 \leq k < n - 1$, a contradiction to the choice of $G_0$. Hence there exists a $k$-vertex cut in $G_0$, say $S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$. Next we have to prove the following several facts:

**Fact 1.** There are exactly two components in $G_0 - S$.

In fact, suppose that there are at least three components, say $W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_t$ and $t \geq 3$. Let $u \in W_i$ and $v \in W_j$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq t$. Easily to find that $S$ is also a $k$-vertex cut of $G_0 + uv$, which means $G_0 + uv \in V_{n,k}$. By Lemma 1, we obtain $EM_1(G_0 + uv) = EM_1(G_0)$, a contradiction to the choice of $G_0$. This complete the proof of fact 1.

Without loss of generality, we denote $W_1, W_2$ be the exactly two components of graph $G_0 - S$.

**Fact 2.** The graphs induced by $V(W_1) \cup S$ and $V(W_2) \cup S$ are cliques.

In fact, suppose that the graph induced by $V(W_1) \cup S$ is not a clique, hence there exist a pair of non-adjacent vertices $u, v \in V(W_1) \cup S$. Note that $G_0 + uv \in V_{n,k}$, then we obtain that $EM_1(G_0 + uv) > EM_1(G_0)$ by Lemma 1, again a contradiction, which implies the proof of fact 2.

As desired we know the graphs induced by $V(W_1) \cup S$ and $V(W_2) \cup S$ are cliques, say $K_{n_1}$ and $K_{n_2}$, respectively.

**Fact 3.** There is only one clique $K_{n_i}$ such that $|K_{n_i}| = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$.

In fact, suppose that both $n_1$ and $n_2$ are larger than 2. Noting $G(n_{1-k-1}) = K_{1} \circ \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_i}$, As is known $K_{n_{1-k-1}} \in V_{n_k}$. By Lemma 5, we have $EM_1(G_0) < EM_1(G(n_{1-k-1}))$, a contradiction, which implies the proof of fact 3.

This completes the proof of (1).

(2) We now consider the lower bound.

By the table above, we have $EM_1(P_n) = 4n - 10$ for $n > 2$. It remains to prove that for every $G \in V_{n,k}$, the inequality $EM_1(G) \geq EM_1(P_n)$ holds and with equality if and only if $G \cong P_n$.

**Fact 4.** $P_n$ takes uniquely the minimum $EM_1$ on the set of all connected graphs with order $n$.

In fact, suppose $T_n = \{T | T$ is a tree with order $n\}$. Then it is easy to find that the path $P_n$ has the minimum of $EM_1$ in $T_n$, respectively. On the other hand, if $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, then $EM_1(H) \leq EM_1(G)$ holds by Lemma 1. Therefore, the minimum of $EM_1$ on the set of all connected graphs with order $n$ is the same as the minimum of $EM_1$ on $T_n$. This implies that $P_n$ takes the minimum first reformulated Zagreb index $EM_1$ on the set of all connected graphs with order $n$.

Now, suppose that $G \in V_{n,k}$ the graph with $EM_1$-value as small as possible as described above. Thus among all trees with order $n$, the path $P_n$ is respectively the unique tree with minimum of $EM_1$. This implies the proof of fact 4.

As desired we have completed the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let $G$ be an arbitrary graph in $E_{n,k}$. Then

$$4n - 10 \leq EM_1(G) \leq k(k + n - 3)^2 + 4(n - 2)^2 \binom{k}{2} + 4(n - 3)^2 \binom{n - k - 1}{2} + k(n - k - 1)(2n - 5)^2,$$

the upper bound attains on $K\left(\frac{1}{n-k-1}\right)$, and the lower bound attains on $P_n$. 
Proof. Since $K_{n-k-1}(\frac{1}{1-k}) \in \mathcal{E}_{n,k} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{n,k}$, we immediately complete the proof. □

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a graph with order $n$ and size $m$. Then

$$EM_1(G) + EM_1(\overline{G}) \leq 2n(n-1)(n-2)^2 + 4n(n-1)m - 8m^2,$$

and the upper bound attains on $K_n$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 3 to the completement graph $\overline{G}$, one obtains $EM_1(\overline{G}) \leq (n-4)M_1(\overline{G}) + 4M_2(\overline{G}) - 4m^2 + 4m$, thus $EM_1(G) + EM_1(\overline{G}) \leq (n-4)(M_1(G) + M_1(\overline{G})) + 4(M_2(G) + M_2(\overline{G})) - 4(m^2 + m) + 4(m + m)$. In view of Lemma 4, $2^{-1}n(n-1)^2 \leq M_1(G) + M_1(\overline{G}) \leq 2^{-1}n(n-1)$, and $2^{-3}n(n-1)^3 \leq M_2(G) + M_2(\overline{G}) \leq 2^{-1}n(n-1)^3$, we complete the proof of the first part by elementary calculations.

Note that the upper bound is best possible. By the table, $EM_1(K_n) + EM_1(\overline{K}_n) = 2n(n-1)(n-2)^2$, the upper bound attains on $K_n$. □
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